IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9297 OF 2004

The President,
Barshi Municipal Council
and another. ... Petitioners.

V/s.

Shri Sadik A. Gani Pathan ... Respondent.

Shri Nitin Jamdar for the petitioner.

Ms.N.D.Buch i/b. S.K.More for the respondent.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 22nd March 2006.

P.C. :

. Rule returnable forthwith. By consent of parties petition is heard finally.

2. Both the parties agree that in the impugned order no reasons are to be found in support of the order. Both the parties agree that without examining merits or demerits of the order, the impugned order be set aside and matter be remitted back to the Industrial Court for re-adjudication of the revision on merits with reasoned order. The Industrial Court is directed to dispose of the revision application by a detailed reasoned order dealing with all contentions raised by the petitioners. The Industrial Court should bear in

mind that the order of the Industrial Court is subject to writ jurisdiction of this Court. Reasoned order helps this Court to read the mind of the adjudicating authority. Therefore, it is always necessary for the Industrial Court to record reasons in support of its order.

3. Since the order does not contain reasons, the impugned order is set aside. Matter is remitted back to the Industrial Court for decision afresh as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. All rival contentions are kept open.

4. The petition, accordingly, stands disposed of in terms of this order. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)